



Context

Pinpoint the language that *wins* in court with the first and only legal language analytics solution.



PERSUADE YOUR JUDGE

Judge Analytics

Build your strongest argument with language proven to persuade your judge.


- Pinpoint the specific language and cases your judge relies on most often.
- Uncover your judge's grant and denial rates for 100 motion types to anticipate how likely you are to prevail.
- Have confidence in your arguments knowing the fellow judges your judge cites most often.

FIND THE RIGHT COURT

Court Analytics

Ensure the best outcome by arguing your case in the right court.

- Find and file your case in a court with precedent most favorable to your view.
- Craft your most compelling argument using language your court responds to and avoiding arguments it disfavors.
- Identify if a change in venue is in order and find compelling language to support the motion.



Context Expert History Help More

Experts matching area of expertise: Internal Medicine

Judges Experts Courts Companies

By Name By Area of Expertise

FILTERS Internal Medicine x Sort By: Relevance

State	City	Hiring Party	Name	Areas of Expertise	Top Jurisdictions	Jury Verdicts & Settlements	Testimony & Reports	Challenges
			1. Daniels, Ronald Clifford M.D. Calistoga, CA	Internal Medicine, Infectious Diseases...	Los Angeles County	240	91	
			2. Freeman, Kevin Arthur M.D. Albany, NY	Internal Medicine, Clinical Pharmacology...	Albany County Supreme	322	66	
			3. Beckett, Michael Thomas M.D. Saint Louis, MO	Internal Medicine, Pharmaceutical...	St. Louis County Circuit	216	98	5
			4. Hall, Gerald John M.D. Chicago, IL	Neurology Internal Medicine	Broward County Circuit	443	188	1

IDENTIFY STRONG EXPERTS

Expert Witness Analytics

Persuade your judge to admit your expert's testimony or impeach your opposition's expert.

- View "scorecards" to assess potential experts' credentials and track records at a granular level.
- Pinpoint why your judge admitted or excluded an expert's testimony, and how often.
- Find the precise language and reasoning your judge used to weigh the Daubert challenge.

KNOW YOUR OPPONENT

Attorney Analytics

Anticipate how opposing counsel will argue their case and use it to strengthen your own argument.

- Understand opposing counsel's experience in particular practice areas and jurisdictions.
- Discover how opposing counsel has handled similar cases in the past and how their litigation strategy played out.
- Neutralize your opponent's arguments with insight into their previous arguments.

Context All History Help More

Roger Cowie
Locke Lord LLP

Overview Arguments Documents [Link to this page](#) [Create Report](#)

FILTERS

Filter by Keyword Court Timeline Practice Areas & Topics Attorney Judge

Results (2669)

1. JOINT REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL United States District Court, New York Southern | Aug 24, 2018 | 2018 U.S. Dist. Ct. Motions LEXIS 932 | Docket 1:11-md-2262-NRB

In this passage and surrounding text

Schwab argues that the Second Circuit "settled" the sufficiency of its Exchange Act claims and that it is somehow "improper" for Defendants to "revisit" Schwab's loss causation allegations. Schwab Opp. at 33-36. Schwab misreads the Second Circuit's decision. The Second Circuit did not sustain Schwab's Exchange Act claims or mandate that the Court accept any amendment as sufficient. To the contrary, the Second Circuit held that Schwab's pleadings were insufficient and permitted Schwab to "add allegations" supporting its theory of loss causation. Charles Schwab Corp. v. Bank of Am. Corp., 883 F.3d 68, 93 (2d Cir. 2018) (emphasis added). Thus, the burden remains on Schwab to plausibly allege loss causation.

2. JOINT REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PARTIAL United States District Court, New York Southern | Aug 24, 2018 | 2018 U.S. Dist. Ct. Motions LEXIS 932 | Docket 1:11-md-2262-NRB

Context All History Help More

Ford Motor Company
Dearborn, Michigan

Overview Analytics People Documents [CourtLink alert](#) [Create Report](#)

Litigation News Storylines

FILTERS

Litigation Involving Ford Motor Company

Representation Practice Area Court

Representation	Count
Snell & Wilmer LLP	347
Bowman and Brooker LLP	311
Ornblith & Hyers	232
Raker & Hostetler LLP	219
Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP	205
Hise, Fernambuco & Stewart LLP	200
Dylvan	183

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P. representing Ford Motor Company (347)

1. In re Ford Motor Co. Dps6 Powershift Transmission Prods. Liab. Litig. United States District Court, California Central | 2020-12-30 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 245049
2. In re Ford Motor Co. Dps6 Powershift Transmission Prods. Liab. Litig. United States District Court, California Central | 2020-12-30 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 245061
3. In re Ford Motor Co. Dps6 Powershift Transmission Prods. Liab. Litig. United States District Court, California Central | 2020-12-01 | 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 226708
4. Raven v. Ford Motor Co. United States District Court, California Central | 2020-10-06

UNCOVER OPPORTUNITIES & RISKS

Company Analytics

Provide timely and informed counsel with a 360-degree view of the current landscape a company is facing.

- Understand and anticipate a company's needs with insight into its litigation history, financials and news trends.
- Gain valuable competitive intelligence into the company you're litigating against.
- Assess and monitor companies you may want to do business with or with whom you're considering a merger or acquisition.

SIGN IN
[Lexis.com](#)

LEARN MORE
[LexisNexis.com/Context](#)

CONTACT YOUR LEXISNEXIS® REPRESENTATIVE
FOR MORE INFORMATION.

